

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,928	07/24/2001	Hideo Kato	35. C15601	3568	
5514 75	590 10/10/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			DICUS,	DICUS, TAMRA	
30 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK,		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW TORK,	141 10112		1774		
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/200	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	),	M				
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>				
Advisory Action	09/910,928	KATO, HIDEO					
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Tamra L. Dicus	1774					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sh et with the c	orrespondenc add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of external part of the purposes.	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate	See MPEP				
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)  they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE: Applicant seeks to narrow the scope of the	the claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set	or reconsideration has been cons ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows		• •					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8 The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statements  10. Other:	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	CYNTHIA I	H. KELLY				
10. Other:		Supervisory Pat Technology (	CENT EXAMINER CENTER 1700				
		C. A	Alv.s				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

**Advisory Action** 

Part of Paper Ne. 11



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The objection to claim 22 is maintained. Claim 22 adds no further subject matter. It merely repeats the matter of claim 19. If the film contains flourine and the film is in the element, then claim 19 already discloses flourine in the element. Anderson indeeds teaches a film silica containing fluorine at col. 3, lines 29-33 and col. 4, lines 13-14 and patented claim 6 teaches the refractive index between 1.65 and 1.80. No differences are seen. Acknowledgement is made of the substitute specification submitted with the after final amendment.